# RESEARCH

Lipids in Health and Disease

**Open Access** 

# A cross-sectional study exploring relationships between triglyceride glucose index, atherogenic index of plasma, and chronic pain: NHANES 1999–2004



Zi Yan<sup>1,2†</sup>, Hongyu Zhang<sup>3†</sup>, Shumei Liu<sup>2</sup>, Jian Cui<sup>2</sup>, Yanfei Zhu<sup>2</sup>, Guoxu Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Renwei Liu<sup>2</sup> and Rongjun Cui<sup>1,2\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** Triglyceride glucose (TyG) index and atherogenic index of plasma (AIP) are indicators of insulin resistance. However, inadequate evidence indicates that the TyG index, AIP, and chronic pain are linked.

**Methods** Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) between 1999 and 2004 were used. Directed acyclic graphs were used to identify 11 potential confounders. The TyG index and AIP were treated as continuous variables in the multivariate logistic regression models to assess their association with chronic pain. Furthermore, the nonlinear relationships between these indices and outcomes were investigated using restricted cubic spline plots. Subsequently, subgroup analyses were conducted for the sensitive populations. Receiver operating characteristic curves were used to compare the relationships between indices and outcomes. Ultimately, two sensitivity analyses were performed.

**Results** This study identified nonlinear associations between the TyG index, AIP, and chronic pain in 16,996,513 Americans. The odds ratio and 95% confidence interval for the TyG index (per 1 standard deviation increase) was 1.17 (1.02, 1.33), and for AIP (per 1 standard deviation increase) was 1.19 (1.07, 1.34). According to the subgroup analyses, the relationships between exposure and outcome were more pronounced in the non-diabetic population. The TyG index and AIP performed similarly in assessing chronic pain in ROC curves. Additionally, the results of the two sensitivity analyses matched the conclusions of the main study.

**Conclusions** Nonlinear correlations between the TyG index, AIP, and chronic pain were identified among adults in the United States. This demonstrated that the TyG index and AIP displayed similar effectiveness in predicting the risk of chronic pain.

Keywords Chronic pain, NHANES, Cross-sectional study

<sup>†</sup>Zi Yan and Hongyu Zhang have contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence:

cuirongjun@mdjmu.edu.cn

Rongjun Cui

<sup>1</sup>Mudanjiang Collaborative Innovation Center for Development and Application of Northern Medicinal Resources, Mudanjiang 157000, China <sup>2</sup>Mudanjiang Medical University, Mudanjiang 157000, China <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin 150001, China

© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit in the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creative.commons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

# Background

Chronic pain imposes a significant personal and socioeconomic burden on patients. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimated that 20.4% of American adults experienced chronic pain in 2016 [1]. A recent survey indicated that in America, chronic pain was still reported by 20.9% of individuals in 2021 [2]. This implies that chronic pain is becoming increasingly common. Various factors have been implicated in the etiology of chronic pain. These include genetics, socioeconomic position, lifestyle choices (smoking, alcohol consumption, daily activities, and healthy diet), and occupational characteristics [3–6]. Chronic pain should be conceptualized as a disease. Its goals include therapeutic aspects (continuing an active lifestyle despite pain) and psychological aspects (pain acceptance and setting objectives for positivity). Different types of pain cause different diagnoses and treatments: nociceptive pain, which results from tissue injury; neoplastic pain, which results from sensitization of the nervous system; and neuropathic pain, which results from nerve damage [7]. Treatments included medication, surgery, physiotherapy, and lifestyle therapy [7]. As proper pain management is an inherent human dignity and should be available in all healthcare systems, chronic pain warrants more attention as a global health concern [8].

The triglyceride glucose (TyG) index has recently gained recognition and has been extensively studied as a representative biomarker to assess insulin resistance (IR) [9, 10]. This index combines fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and triglyceride (TG) levels. IR is a critical mechanism underlying various metabolic diseases [11]. Tara M. Wallace et al. developed the homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) to evaluate  $\beta$ -cell function and IR by measuring fasting glucose, insulin, or C-peptide concentrations [12]. They indicated that, as the TyG index increased, there was a corresponding increase in the HOMA of IR [13]. The atherogenic index of plasma (AIP) is an effective indicator of metabolic syndrome (MetS), diabetes mellitus (DM), and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) [14–18]. Elevated AIP levels were significantly linked to an elevated risk of diabetes-related mortality in individuals aged  $\geq 65$  years [19]. The general population's mortality from all causes and AIP had a nonlinear relationship [19]. Elevated AIP levels had been linked to worsening of prehypertension in the Japanese population [20]. Furthermore, elevated AIP levels also had been linked to worsening of obstructive sleep apnea [21]. However, lack of research supports connections between the TyG index, AIP, and chronic pain, and it is currently unknown how they are related.

This study explored the potential connections between TyG and AIP and chronic pain using the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data. We aimed to elucidate the role of metabolic health, particularly IR, in chronic pain progression by examining these relationships. This research added to provide insight into at-risk populations and inform the development of targeted preventive measures.

# **Materials and methods**

#### Study population and design

This study used data from the NHANES, a research program assessing the health and nutritional status of adults and children in the United States. The NHANES uses a sophisticated multistage probability sampling design to select a sample representative of the population in the United States. All NHANES protocols were approved by the Research Ethics Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics, and informed consent was obtained from each participant. NHANES data are available at htt ps://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/ for public use.

Participants from NHANES cycles between 1999 and 2004 were included in this analysis because these cycles offered comprehensive data on variables, including the TyG index, AIP, and chronic pain. Participants with missing data on relevant variables, such as smoking, were excluded to prevent potential confounding of results. The final analysis involved 4,895 eligible individuals from the NHANES (Fig. 1). Weighted methods were used for the data analysis to ensure that the study was nationally representative.

#### **Exposure and outcome definitions**

In this study, exposure variables were TyG and AIP. TyG index was calculated using the following formula: ln [TG  $\times$  FPG/2]. AIP was calculated using the following formula: log10 [TG/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)].

Chronic pain was the outcome variable that was the focus of this study. People aged 20 years and above who completed the questionnaire had a component on various pains. MPQ100 (pain lasting >24 h in the prior month) and MPQ110 (duration of pain) were used to characterize chronic pain. Participants were classified as having chronic pain if they had been experiencing pain for three months or more. However, those in the non-chronic pain category reported no pain in the month prior or experienced pain that subsided in less than three months.

#### Covariates

Covariates in directed acyclic graphs (DAGs) encompassed sociodemographic characteristics (age, gender, ethnicity, education, and marital status), lifestyle factors (body mass index [BMI], alcohol use, and smoking), common diseases (DM, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia), and laboratory test data (low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C]). The patient's age was documented as



Fig. 1 Flowchart for selecting study participants

continuous. The gender was classified as male or female. Ethnicity was categorized into four groups: Mexican, White, Black, and Other. Marital status was categorized as married (yes) or unmarried (no). Education levels were divided into four categories: less than ninth grade, ninth to eleventh grade, high school graduate or general educational development (GED) equivalent, and college graduate or above. LDL-C level was also considered a continuous variable. Weight (kg) was divided by height (m<sup>2</sup>) to calculate the BMI. Alcohol use was categorized as no or yes. Smoking was defined as non-smoking (no) or smoking (yes). DM, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia were categorized as non-diseased (no) or diseased (yes).

In this study, the minimal sufficient adjustments (MSAs) selected by DAGs [22, 23] included age, alcohol use, ethnicity, and gender (Fig. 2). Consequently, in addition to adjusting for the variables selected by DAGs, DM and hypertension were included as confounders in the model. By using this method, the estimated relationship between exposure and outcome was reduced in bias in the final model. Finally, the control variables for the models included age, gender, ethnicity, education, marital status, alcohol use, BMI, LDL-C, smoking, DM, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia (Figs. S1 and 2). The variables were described in detail in the supplemental materials and on the NHANES website.

## Statistical analysis

In this study, the fasting subsample four-year MEC weight from 1999 to 2002 and the fasting subsample two-year MEC weight of subsequent years were used to combine the weights across survey cycles. Detailed information on the survey sample design and the methods to

calculate weights are provided at https://wwwn.cdc.gov/ nchs/nhanes/analyticguidelines.aspx.

Based on previous studies [24], continuous variables were presented as weighted mean±standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables as weighted frequency and percentage. TyG and AIP were standardized to assess optimal effects using Z-score method. Differences in continuous variables between participants with and without chronic pain were evaluated using independent t-tests, while differences in categorical variables were evaluated using chi-square tests. Multivariate logistic regression was used to examine the relationships between the AIP, TyG index, and chronic pain. Model 1 was applied without any covariates. Age, gender, ethnicity, education, and marital status were incorporated into the formulation of Model 2. Based on Model 2, alcohol use, smoking, LDL-C, hypertension, BMI, DM, and hyperlipidemia were further adjusted in Model 3. The reports included odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). Based on Model 3, potential nonlinear correlations between exposure and outcome were assessed using restricted cubic spline (RCS) plots. Subgroup analyses were constructed, stratified by age ( $<60/\ge 60$  years), BMI ( $<30/\ge 30$ ), gender (male/female), hypertension (yes/no), and DM (yes/no). Model 1 did not account for any of these factors. Accordingly, three crucial demographic variables were adjusted in Model 2: ethnicity, education, and marital status. Then, all confounders were adjusted in Model 3. The TyG index and AIP demonstrated varying relationships with chronic pain across different subgroups. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to compare the associations between the index and outcomes. Ultimately, two sensitivity analyses were conducted: unweighted and



Fig. 2 Direct acyclic graphs illustrate the relationships between exposures, outcomes, and MSAs

weighted logistic regression, after excluding individuals with hyperlipidemia. R software (version 4.3.3) was used for all statistical analyses. Statistical significance was defined as a threshold of P-values < 0.05.

# Results

# Population characteristics

This research recruited 31,126 participants from NHANES from 1999 to 2004. Ultimately, 4,895 participants were statistically analyzed using a weighted method to represent the United States population comprehensively. Table 1 illustrated demographic information and other characteristics of the participants. The general demographics included 2,419 (51.24%) females, 2,575 (73.21%) whites, and 3,826 (75.71%) nonsmokers. Additionally, 2,087 patients had hypertension, and 573 had DM. The participants were grouped according to whether they had chronic pain, and their baseline characteristics were compared. Significant differences in chronic pain were observed according to education and ethnicity. Patients with chronic pain exhibited higher mean age, BMI, TyG index, and AIP values. Furthermore, box plots (Fig. 3) were drawn to compare the relationships between exposure and outcome in the unweighted original data. Plot analysis revealed that individuals with chronic pain exhibited elevated TyG index and AIP.

Table 1 Characteristics of the participants with and without chronic pain

Variable	Total (N=4895)	Without chronic pain (N=4178)	With chronic pain ( $N = 717$ )	P-value
Age	46.26 (45.39, 47.14)	45.94 (44.97, 46.92)	47.90 (46.52, 49.27)	0.020
BMI	28.04 (27.78, 28.31)	27.78 (27.50, 28.07)	29.38 (28.70, 30.05)	< 0.001
TyG-S	-0.07 (-0.11, -0.03)	-0.11 (-0.15, -0.06)	0.12 (0.03, 0.20)	< 0.0001
AIP-S	-0.03 (-0.08, 0.01)	-0.07 (-0.11, -0.02)	0.15 (0.05, 0.24)	< 0.0001
LDL-C	3.13 (3.09, 3.17)	3.12 (3.08, 3.16)	3.15 (3.07, 3.23)	0.490
Gender				0.002
Female	2419 (51.24)	2016 (49.95)	403 (57.85)	
Male	2476 (48.76)	2162 (50.05)	314 (42.15)	
Ethnicity				0.010
Black	870 (10.33)	755 (10.64)	115 (8.69)	
Mexican	1102 (7.05)	988 (7.70)	114 (3.75)	
Other	348 (9.41)	302 (9.66)	46 (8.10)	
White	2575 (73.21)	2133 (72.00)	442 (79.45)	
Education				< 0.001
9th – 10th Grade	763 (12.57)	628 (11.72)	135 (16.97)	
College graduate or above	2251 (54.31)	1944 (55.65)	307 (47.41)	
High School Grad/GED or Equivalent	1136 (26.24)	957 (25.73)	179 (28.90)	
Less than 9th Grade	745 (6.88)	649 (6.91)	96 (6.72)	
Marital status				0.190
No	1778 (33.12)	1521 (33.68)	257 (30.23)	
Yes	3117 (66.88)	2657 (66.32)	460 (69.77)	
Smoking				< 0.0001
No	3826 (75.71)	3333 (78.01)	493 (63.87)	
Yes	1069 (24.29)	845 (21.99)	224 (36.13)	
Alcohol use				0.180
No	1684 (29.03)	1426 (28.64)	258 (31.01)	
Yes	3211 (70.97)	2752 (71.36)	459 (68.99)	
Hypertension				0.002
No	2808 (64.32)	2446 (65.70)	362 (57.26)	
Yes	2087 (35.68)	1732 (34.30)	355 (42.74)	
DM				0.001
No	4322 (90.39)	3711 (91.27)	611 (85.88)	
Yes	573 (9.61)	467 (8.73)	106 (14.12)	
Hyperlipidemia				0.380
No	1191 (26.17)	1031 (26.55)	160 (24.20)	
Yes	3704 (73.83)	3147 (73.45)	557 (75.80)	

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; TyG-S, standardized triglyceride glucose index; AIP-S, standardized atherogenic index of plasma; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; DM, diabetes mellitus





#### Association between exposure and outcome

Table 2 illustrated the findings of multivariate logistic regression that identified independent risk variables for chronic pain to deepen insight into the correlations among the TyG index, AIP, and chronic pain. Across all models, the results consistently displayed favorable relationships between TyG, AIP, and chronic pain.

TyG was used as an exposure variable, Model 1 exhibited a significant correlation. When some covariates were considered in Model 2, the connection was significant (OR, 1.26; 95% Cl, 1.14–1.40; P < 0.0001). The positive connection was steady in Model 3 (OR, 1.17; 95% Cl, 1.02–1.33; P = 0.023). This implied that the chance of chronic pain increased by 17% in the TyG index for every increase in SD.

When AIP was used as the exposure variable in Model 1, a significant association was observed between AIP and chronic pain (OR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.12-1.36; P < 0.0001). Model 2 revealed a significant relationship (OR, 1.26; 95% Cl, 1.15-1.39; P<0.0001). A steady positive correlation was maintained in Model 3 (OR, 1.19; 95% Cl, 1.07–1.34; P=0.003). According to this result, every SD increase in AIP increased the risk of chronic pain by 19%. RCS plots based on models completely adjusted for variables were also used. It was revealed that the exposure was nonlinearly correlated with the outcome (P for nonlinearity < 0.05). In the RCS plot of the TyG index (Fig. 4A), the curve was divided into three segments by two inflection points (-0.68 and 0.03). When the TyG index was < -0.68, the OR increased as the TyG index value increased. When -0.68 < the TyG index was < 0.03, the OR decreased as TyG index values increased. When the TyG index was >0.03, the overall trend of the curve increased. Similarly, in the RCS plot for AIP (Fig. 4B), the curve was divided into three segments by two inflection points (-0.38 and 0.50). When AIP < -0.38, the OR increased as the AIP value increased. When -0.38 < AIP < 0.50, the OR decreased as AIP values increased, and when AIP > 0.50, the overall trend of the curve exhibited an increase.

ROC curves presented a maximum area under the curve (AUC) of 0.561 for TyG curves and 0.556 for AIP

curves (Fig. S3). As a result, the prognostic efficacies of TyG and AIP were similar in diagnosing chronic pain.

#### Subgroup analysis

Separate subgroup analyses were conducted to evaluate how TyG index, AIP, and chronic pain were related to various demographic groups. The TyG index and age interacted among individuals aged <60 years (OR, 1.36; 95% CI, 1.20–1.53; P<0.0001, P for interaction = 0.027; Fig. 5A). In the non-diabetic sample, the TyG index (OR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.15–1.52; P<0.001; P for interaction = 0.013; Fig. 5A) and AIP (OR, 1.25; 95% CI, 1.11–1.42; P<0.001; P for interaction = 0.019; Fig. 5B) were significantly associated with chronic pain.

### Sensitivity analysis

Similar to previous studies [25–30], three unweighted models were constructed in the sensitivity analyses (Table 3). The relationship between exposure and outcome remained stable. Additionally, participants with hyperlipidemia were excluded, and weighted logistic regressions were constructed, depicting that the relationships remained positive (Table 4). Accordingly, the analyses demonstrated that the relationships in these constructed models were stable.

# Discussion

The correlations among the TyG index, AIP, and chronic pain were demonstrated for the first time in this study. This observational study included 4,895 participants, and logistic regression analyses were conducted to explore the relationship between exposure and outcome. For each SD increase, the risk of chronic pain was higher by 17% for TyG (OR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.02–1.33; P=0.023) and 19% for AIP (OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.07–1.34; *P*=0.003). The variability and specificity of study findings within each group were examined using extensive subgroup analyses. The results demonstrated exposure and outcome in the non-diabetic group were positively correlated. According to RCS plot results, TyG and AIP were independently observed to have a nonlinear link with the occurrence of chronic pain. The ROC plots showed that TyG and AIP had similar accuracies in assessing chronic pain.

 Table 2
 Association between TyG-S, AIP-S, and chronic pain

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	Model 3		
Character	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)		
TyG-S	< 0.0001	1.26 (1.14, 1.39)	< 0.0001	1.26 (1.14, 1.40)	0.023	1.17 (1.02, 1.33)		
AIP-S	< 0.0001	1.24 (1.12, 1.36)	< 0.0001	1.26 (1.15, 1.39)	0.003	1.19 (1.07, 1.34)		

Model 1: A crude model without adjustments

Model 2: A model adjusted for demographic factors, including age, gender, ethnicity, education, and marital status

Model 3: A model adjusted for clinical and lifestyle factors, including DM, smoking, alcohol use, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, BMI, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, in addition to the variables in Model 2

Abbreviations: TyG-S, Standardized triglyceride glucose index; AIP-S, Standardized atherogenic index of plasma



Fig. 4 RCS depicts the associations between the TyG index, AIP, and chronic pain. In the TyG plot (**A**), the inflection points were – 0.68 and 0.03. In the AIP plot (**B**), the inflection points were – 0.38 and 0.50. Abbreviations: TyG-S, standardized triglyceride glucose index; AIP-S, standardized atherogenic index of plasma

# Α

Character	Model 1	OR (95% Cl)	P for interaction	Model 2	OR (95%Cl)	P for interaction	Model 3	OR (95%Cl)	P for interaction
Age group			0.041			0.049			0.027
<60	H <b>-</b> H	1.33 (1.20,1.48)***		H <b>-</b>	1.30 (1.17,1.44)***			1.36 (1.20,1.53)***	
>=60	H•	1.08 (0.88,1.32)		<b>⊢</b> ●	1.07 (0.88,1.32)			1.06 (0.86,1.32)	
BMI group			0.624			0.547			0.452
<30	H <b>-</b> H	1.23 (1.10,1.39)**		H <b>H</b>	1.21 (1.07,1.36)*		H	1.25 (1.11,1.42)**	
>=30	H	1.16 (0.92,1.47)		H•	1.12 (0.88,1.44)			1.15 (0.87,1.52)	
Sex			0.245			0.278			0.386
male	H-	1.20 (1.04,1.39)*		<b></b>	1.18 (1.01,1.37)*			1.20 (0.99,1.46)	
female	<b>⊢</b> ●−−1	1.36 (1.17,1.57)**			1.32 (1.15,1.52)**			1.42 (1.22,1.65)***	
Hypertension			0.532			0.57			0.54
yes		1.17 (0.98,1.40)		<b>⊢</b> ●−−1	1.18 (0.98,1.41)			1.19 (0.99,1.43)	
no	H <b>-</b>	1.25 (1.11,1.41)**		H <b>O</b>	1.21 (1.07,1.37)*		<b>——</b>	1.27 (1.10,1.47)*	
DM			0.022			0.029			0.013
no	<b>———</b>	1.30 (1.14,1.48)**		<b>—</b>	1.25 (1.10,1.43)**			1.32 (1.15,1.52)**	
yes ⊢		0.85 (0.63,1.15)			0.86 (0.63,1.18)			0.84 (0.61,1.17)	
0.5	1 1.5		0.5	1 1.5		0.5	1 1.5		
	Odds ratio			Odds ratio			Odds ratio		

# В

Character	Model 1	OR (95%Cl)	P for interaction	Model 2	OR (95%Cl)	P for interaction	Model 3	OR (95%Cl)	P for interaction
Age group			0.242			0.284			0.222
<60	H <b>O</b> -1	1.27 (1.14,1.42)***		H <b>H</b>	1.23 (1.10,1.37)**			1.25 (1.11,1.41)**	
>=60	+ <b>-</b>	1.12 (0.94,1.35)		+	1.13 (0.94,1.36)		+	1.12 (0.93,1.36)	
BMI group			0.883			0.754			0.615
<30	H <b>e</b> 1	1.19 (1.07,1.34)*		H <b>e</b> -1	1.16 (1.04,1.30)*		H <b>e</b> 1	1.16 (1.04,1.29)*	
>=30	+ <b>-</b> •	1.17 (0.95,1.45)		+	1.14 (0.91,1.42)			1.15 (0.89,1.49)	
Sex			0.108			0.118			0.239
male	H <b>O</b>	1.19 (1.03,1.37)*			1.15 (1.00,1.33)*			1.15 (0.99,1.35)	
female	H	1.39 (1.21,1.60)***			1.36 (1.18,1.56)***			1.44 (1.24,1.68)***	
Hypertension			0.290			0.318			0.312
yes	H	1.13 (0.95,1.36)		H	1.14 (0.94,1.37)		+	1.13 (0.94,1.35)	
no	H <b>O</b> -1	1.27 (1.13,1.41)***		H <b>O</b> -1	1.22 (1.10,1.35)**			1.26 (1.13,1.39)***	
DM			0.027			0.030			0.019
no	H <b>O</b>	1.26 (1.11,1.42)**		H <b>H</b>	1.23 (1.09,1.38)**		H <b>O</b> HI	1.25 (1.11,1.42)**	
yes		0.86 (0.65,1.14)			0.84 (0.61,1.15)	ŀ		0.79 (0.57,1.11)	
0.5	1 1.5		0.5	1 1.5		0.5	1 1.5		
	Odds ratio			Odds ratio			Odds ratio		

**Fig. 5** Forest plots for the associations between TyG index, AIP, and chronic pain. **A**: Subgroup analysis of the relationship between TyG index and chronic pain. **B**: Subgroup analysis of the relationship between AIP and chronic pain. **\*\*\*** indicates P < 0.001; **\*\*** indicates P < 0.001; **\*\*** indicates P < 0.05; Model 1: A crude model without adjustments. Model 2: A model adjusted for factors, including ethnicity, education, and marital status. Model 3: All confounders were adjusted. Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; BMI, body mass index

Table 3	Unweighted	logistic	regression	between T	yG-S, A	JP-S, and	chronic	bain
					/ /	,		

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		
Character	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	
TyG-S	< 0.0001	1.18 (1.10, 1.28)	< 0.0001	1.21 (1.11, 1.32)	0.030	1.12 (1.01, 1.24)	
AIP-S	< 0.0001	1.19 (1.10, 1.29)	< 0.0001	1.24 (1.14, 1.35)	0.002	1.17 (1.06, 1.30)	

Model 1: A crude model without adjustments

Model 2: A model adjusted for demographic factors, including age, gender, ethnicity, education, and marital status

Model 3: A model adjusted for clinical and lifestyle factors, including DM, smoking, alcohol use, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, BMI, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, in addition to the variables in Model 2

Abbreviations: TyG-S, standardized triglyceride glucose index; AIP-S, standardized atherogenic index of plasma

Table 4	Weighted	logistic ı	regression ex	kcluded	participants	with h	perlipidem	nia between	TyG-S, AIP-S	S, and chronic	pain

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	Model 3		
Character	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)		
TyG-S	< 0.001	1.63 (1.25, 2.11)	0.002	1.70 (1.24, 2.32)	0.028	1.42 (1.04, 1.94)		
AIP-S	0.002	1.46 (1.15, 1.85)	< 0.001	1.64 (1.27, 2.10)	0.021	1.39 (1.06, 1.82)		

Model 1: A crude model without adjustments

Model 2: A model adjusted for demographic factors, including age, gender, ethnicity, education, and marital status

Model 3: A model adjusted for clinical and lifestyle factors, including DM, smoking, alcohol use, hypertension, BMI, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, in addition to the variables in Model 2

Abbreviations: TyG-S, standardized triglyceride glucose index; AIP-S, standardized atherogenic index of plasma

Chronic pain disrupts daily life and significantly reduces quality of life [1]. Compared to men, women are more prone to have chronic pain [1, 31]. This result is consistent with our findings (Table 1). This difference may be influenced by sex hormones that affect pain sensitivity [32]. Moreover, women's pain thresholds and tolerance vary with different stages of the menstrual cycle [32]. A recent study from Norway demonstrated that for each year, menopause is delayed, and the prevalence of chronic pain decreases by 1% [33]. Additionally, studies had shown that the brain networks linked to higher cognitive and reproductive processes and those controlled by estrogen share a great deal in common. These results point to a new connection between women's endocrine aging and the upregulation of estrogen receptors in brain regions associated with cognitive function, including those related to emotion, memory, pain, stress response, and fine motor abilities [34, 35]. Besides, one or more of these causes trigger chronic pain [7]. Recent research has demonstrated a connection between pain and metabolic disorders. Chronic pain was also significantly correlated with CVD via stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system [36]. A Scottish cross-sectional investigation revealed a correlation between a higher incidence of MetS and chronic pain, especially high-intensity chronic pain [37]. Another case-control study demonstrated that MetS exacerbates pain in osteoarthritis [38].

Recent research has demonstrated a significant link between the risk of CVDs and the TyG index, a biomarker directly associated with metabolism. Research has further demonstrated an intense connection between TyG scores and the risk of heart failure [39]. This suggests that the TyG index may facilitate the pathogenesis of metabolic diseases and CVDs [40]. Additionally, the TyG index has been recommended as a convenient proxy indicator to measure IR, and its correlation with chronic pain may be due to several factors. First, IR causes inadequate vasodilation, resulting in peripheral vascular endothelial dysfunction. Nitric oxide deficiency is suggested to be a key factor linking IR to endothelial dysfunction [41]. Second, IR may significantly influence vascular remodeling, thinning, and side branching [42]. Furthermore, vascular endothelial growth factor levels exhibit a significant inverse relationship with IR [43]. Besides, several cytokines may contribute to chronic pain and IR. One of these cytokines is tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) [44]. Current research demonstrates that TNF- $\alpha$  significantly affects neuropathic and inflammatory nociceptive hypersensitivity [45]. Hyperglycemia can also result from IR. Moreover, it increases the synthesis of advanced glycation end-products which can activate pro-inflammatory pathways and cause systemic inflammation [46].

AIP has recently emerged as a novel predictive biomarker of CVDs [47]. In a meta-analysis, elevated AIP levels are found to be an autonomous predictive determinant in individuals with coronary artery disease [48]. However, AIP is strongly associated with CVDs and may be linked to neurological disorders. According to Chen et al., higher AIP values are associated with reduced cortical structural volumes in middle-aged and older populations [49]. AIP, derived from TG and HDL-C, was observed in a cross-sectional study to reduce the incidence of chronic pain by 26% for every 20-unit increase in HDL-C level [50]. A Norwegian cross-sectional research found an inverse correlation between low back pain prevalence and HDL-C level [51]. Additionally, it revealed a positive correlation with TG [51]. Moreover, TG promotes the production of pro-inflammatory lipids and long-chain saturated fatty acids, triggering inflammatory activation in mononuclear macrophages [52]. Free fatty acids activate inflammatory vesicles and toll-like receptors (TLRs), particularly TLR-4, stimulating downstream inflammatory pathways [53]. Research has demonstrated that fat-rich diets increase immune cell proliferation in the central nervous system and that saturated fatty acids activate receptors on innate immune cells [54, 55]. Zhang et al. revealed that mice exhibited signs of MetS in a highfat diet, such as elevated plasma cholesterol levels, relative to mice fed a control diet [56]. After an eight-week high-fat diet regimen, mice exhibited thermal nociceptive sensitization and mechanical allodynia in their hind paws. These painful behaviors were associated with higher densities of damaged epidermal nerve fibers in the hind paw skin. Concurrently, there was an elevation in the presence of inflammatory cells, including Langerhans cells and macrophages. Mice subjected to a high-fat diet received interleukin-10 injections to investigate the underlying mechanisms. This intervention ameliorated pain and attenuated the upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines [56]. High-fat feeding also exacerbates postoperative pain and delays wound healing after surgical incision [57]. Moreover, recent research has indicated an association between adipokines (adiponectin and leptin) and pain. Current evidence suggests that lipocalin concentration may increase during non-episodic periods and decrease during migraine attacks [58].

Dyslipidemia and IR induce oxidative stress, which is linked to various pain conditions [59]. Oxidative stress may contribute to the pain mechanisms in patients with fibromyalgia [60]. This factor may contribute to the pain experienced by individuals with hemophilia and is implicated in the modulation and regulation of oral pain [61, 62]. The interaction between IR, dyslipidemia, and oxidative stress induces a vicious cycle that may maintain and exacerbate chronic pain. Understanding these mechanisms underscores the importance of metabolic health in pain management and suggests potential therapeutic targets to prevent or alleviate chronic pain. This study expands on the existing findings by elucidating the distinct associations between TyG and AIP with chronic pain in the general population. It suggests that metabolic health, as measured by these indices, may be a key in understanding the etiology of chronic pain.

The TyG index and AIP may be useful tools to evaluate the risk of chronic pain. These indices, readily available through routine blood tests, can be used to identify patients likely to experience chronic pain in primary care settings. The early identification of at-risk populations can facilitate the implementation of preventive measures. Implementing public health strategies to enhance metabolic health can significantly reduce chronic pain at the population level. These strategies may include initiatives to promote healthy diets, increase physical activity, and reduce obesity, all of which can improve metabolic indices. Besides, public health campaigns can raise awareness of the link between metabolic health and chronic pain, encouraging individuals to take proactive steps to optimize metabolic health and to potentially mitigate the risk of developing chronic pain.

#### Study strengths and limitations

This study benefited from several advantages of using the NHANES database. First, the comprehensive weighting system employed in the NHANES allows the findings to be generalizable to the overall United States population. Second, the standardized data collection and processing methodologies using NHANES data contributed to the reliability and consistency of the results, as these practices helped minimize potential biases in the data. Third, the NHANES database has a long datacollection period and a high frequency of updates. This database encompasses multiple disciplines, including epidemiology, public health, nutrition, and chronic disease management, making it suitable for various types of research. Therefore, the representativeness and standardization of the NHANES dataset make it the optimal choice for this study. Additionally, the study adhered to rigorous research practices using DAGs to identify relevant adjustment variables, thereby minimizing bias in the associations between exposure and outcome in the final model. Nonetheless, this study also has certain limitations. First, causal relationships cannot be inferred because they are observational studies. Further prospective studies are essential to accurately determine the correlations between TyG, AIP, and chronic pain. Despite thoroughly considering all relevant variables, unquantified confounding factors may still exist. Self-reports were used to assess chronic pain but may be subject to recall bias. Additionally, the AUC value of the ROC curve in this study is relatively low. This suggests a limited predictive performance of the exposure, which may have failed to capture the diversity and complexity of chronic pain adequately. Therefore, we will continue to explore the mechanisms underlying chronic pain and develop more accurate tools to predict chronic pain in the future.

# Conclusion

In this study, TyG index and AIP exhibited nonlinear relationships with chronic pain prevalence. Furthermore, TyG and AIP predicted similar results for chronic pain among adults in the United States. A link was observed between an increased incidence of chronic pain and an increased TyG index and AIP, especially in non-diabetic individuals, where this tendency was more prominent. Nevertheless, prospective cohort studies are required to confirm these results. This study enhances our understanding of the association between metabolic health and chronic pain. This suggests that lifestyle changes that improve metabolic health could help reduce the burden of chronic pain.

#### Abbreviations

TvG	Trialyceride alucose
DAGs	Directed acyclic graphs
AIP	Atherogenic index of plasma
IR	Insulin resistance
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
RCS	Restricted cubic spline
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic
TG	Triglyceride
CDC	The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
HOMA	The homeostatic model assessment
MetS	Metabolic syndrome
AGEs	Glycation end products
HDL-C	High-density lipoprotein cholesterol
TLRs	Toll-like receptors
BMI	Body mass index
FPG	Fasting plasma glucose
DM	Diabetes mellitus
SD	Standard deviation
TNF-a	Tumor necrosis factor-α
OR	Odds ratios
CVDs	Cardiovascular diseases
CI	Confidence intervals
LDL-C	Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
MSAs	The minimal sufficient adjustments
GED	General educational development
AUC	Area under the curve

#### Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at  $\mbox{https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-025-02496-8}$  .

Supplementary Material 1: Additional File 1: Fig. S1. DAG illustrates the relationship between the TyG index and chronic pain. Fig. S2. DAG demonstrates the relationship between AIP and chronic pain. Fig. S3. ROC curves of the TyG index and AIP to predict chronic pain.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to extend our sincere thanks to Jiajun Fu from Xiamen University for his invaluable assistance with the graphic design elements of this research. Then, we wish to express our appreciation to Yi Liu from Hefei Normal University for his unwavering support throughout this study. We thank Home for Researchers editorial team (www.home-for-researchers.com) for language editing service. Thanks to Zhang Jing (Second Department of Infectious Disease, Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital, Fudan University) for his work on the NHANES database.His outstanding work, nhanesR package and webpage, makes it easier for us to explore NHANES database.

#### Author contributions

Zi Yan: Conceived the study design, organized the data collection forms, and finalized the manuscript. Hongyu Zhang: Conducted the data analysis and gathered the data. Shumei Liu and Jian Cui: Designed the figures. Yanfei Zhu, Guoxu Zhao and Renwei Liu: Designed the tables. Rongjun Cui: Directed the study. All authors finalized the manuscript, refined the article and contributed to the final manuscript and have approved it for publication.

#### Funding

This work was supported by Heilongjiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation [SS2022H003] and Mudanjiang Medical University Graduate Advisor's Special Research Plan [YJSZX2022036].

#### Data availability

Data is provided within the manuscript or supplementary information files.

# Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The protocol of NHANES has been approved by the Ethical Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics, and the participants furnished written informed consent before participation.

#### **Consent for publication**

We confirm that the manuscript has been read and approved for publication by all named authors.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Received: 31 August 2024 / Accepted: 18 February 2025 Published online: 25 February 2025

#### References

- Dahlhamer J, Lucas J, Zelaya C, Nahin R, Mackey S, DeBar L, Kerns R, Von Korff M, Porter L, Helmick C. Prevalence of chronic pain and High-Impact chronic pain among Adults - United States, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2018;67:1001–06.
- Rikard SM, Strahan AE, Schmit KM, Guy GP. Jr. Chronic pain among Adults -United States, 2019–2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2023;72:379–85.
- Zhang H, Jiao L, Yang S, Li H, Jiang X, Feng J, Zou S, Xu Q, Gu J, Wang X, et al. Brain-computer interfaces: the innovative key to unlocking neurological conditions. Int J Surg. 2024;110:5745–62.
- Bushnell MC, Case LK, Ceko M, Cotton VA, Gracely JL, Low LA, Pitcher MH, Villemure C. Effect of environment on the long-term consequences of chronic pain. Pain. 2015;156(Suppl 1):S42–9.
- Smith BH, Macfarlane GJ, Torrance N. Epidemiology of chronic pain, from the laboratory to the bus stop: time to add Understanding of biological mechanisms to the study of risk factors in population-based research? Pain. 2007;127:5–10.
- 6. van Hecke O, Torrance N, Smith BH. Chronic pain epidemiology and its clinical relevance. Br J Anaesth. 2013;111:13–8.
- 7. Cohen SP, Vase L, Hooten WM. Chronic pain: an update on burden, best practices, and new advances. Lancet. 2021;397:2082–97.
- Treede RD, Rief W, Barke A, Aziz Q, Bennett MI, Benoliel R, Cohen M, Evers S, Finnerup NB, First MB, et al. A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. Pain. 2015;156:1003–07.
- Guerrero-Romero F, Simental-Mendía LE, González-Ortiz M, Martínez-Abundis E, Ramos-Zavala MG, Hernández-González SO, Jacques-Camarena O, Rodríguez-Morán M. The product of triglycerides and glucose, a simple measure of insulin sensitivity. Comparison with the euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95:3347–51.
- Khan SH, Sobia F, Niazi NK, Manzoor SM, Fazal N, Ahmad F. Metabolic clustering of risk factors: evaluation of Triglyceride-glucose index (TyG index) for evaluation of insulin resistance. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2018;10:74.

- Zhao X, An X, Yang C, Sun W, Ji H, Lian F. The crucial role and mechanism of insulin resistance in metabolic disease. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2023;14:1149239.
- Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR. Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. Diabetes Care. 2004;27:1487–95.
- Ozturk D, Sivaslioglu A, Bulus H, Ozturk B. TyG index is positively associated with HOMA-IR in cholelithiasis patients with insulin resistance: based on a retrospective observational study. Asian J Surg. 2024;47:2579–83.
- Li YW, Kao TW, Chang PK, Chen WL, Wu LW. Atherogenic index of plasma as predictors for metabolic syndrome, hypertension and diabetes mellitus in Taiwan citizens: a 9-year longitudinal study. Sci Rep. 2021;11:9900.
- Yi Q, Ren Z, Bai G, Zhu S, Li C, Wu H, Zhu Y, Song P. The longitudinal effect of the atherogenic index of plasma on type 2 diabetes in middle-aged and older Chinese. Acta Diabetol. 2022;59:269–79.
- Shi Y, Wen M. Sex-specific differences in the effect of the atherogenic index of plasma on prediabetes and diabetes in the NHANES 2011–2018 population. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2023;22:19.
- Hang F, Chen J, Wang Z, Zheng K, Wu Y. Association between the atherogenic index of plasma and major adverse cardiovascular events among nondiabetic hypertensive older adults. Lipids Health Dis. 2022;21:62.
- Hamzeh B, Pasdar Y, Mirzaei N, Faramani RS, Najafi F, Shakiba E, Darbandi M. Visceral adiposity index and atherogenic index of plasma as useful predictors of risk of cardiovascular diseases: evidence from a cohort study in Iran. Lipids Health Dis. 2021;20:82.
- You FF, Gao J, Gao YN, Li ZH, Shen D, Zhong WF, Yang J, Wang XM, Song WQ, Yan H, et al. Association between atherogenic index of plasma and all-cause mortality and specific-mortality: a nationwide population–based cohort study. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2024;23:276.
- 20. Tan M, Zhang Y, Jin L, Wang Y, Cui W, Nasifu L, He B. Association between atherogenic index of plasma and prehypertension or hypertension among normoglycemia subjects in a Japan population: a cross-sectional study. Lipids Health Dis. 2023;22:87.
- 21. Bikov A, Meszaros M, Kunos L, Negru AG, Frent SM, Mihaicuta S. Atherogenic index of plasma in obstructive sleep Apnoea. J Clin Med. 2021;10.
- 22. Cui X, Zhu G, Lin J, Chu CH, Li K, Fan L, Xing C, Wang L, Pan Y. The association of aldehyde exposure with the risk of periodontitis: NHANES 2013–2014. Clin Oral Investig. 2023;28:29.
- 23. Zhang H, Zhan Q, Dong F, Gao X, Zeng F, Yao J, Gan Y, Zou S, Gu J, Fu H, et al. Associations of Chinese visceral adiposity index and new-onset stroke in middle-aged and older Chinese adults: an observational study. Lipids Health Dis. 2023;22:74.
- Chen YM, Liu ZY, Chen S, Lu XT, Huang ZH, Wusiman M, Huang BX, Lan QY, Wu T, Huang RZ, et al. Mitigating the impact of bisphenol A exposure on mortality: is diet the key? A cohort study based on NHANES. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2023;267:115629.
- Weng J, Mao Y, Xie Q, Sun K, Kong X. Gender differences in the association between healthy eating index-2015 and hypertension in the US population: evidence from NHANES 1999–2018. BMC Public Health. 2024;24:330.
- Ma R, Zhou X, Zhang G, Wu H, Lu Y, Liu F, Chang Y, Ding Y. Association between composite dietary antioxidant index and coronary heart disease among US adults: a cross-sectional analysis. BMC Public Health. 2023;23:2426.
- 27. Wang R, Cheng X, Tao W. Association between triglyceride glucose body mass index and cardiovascular disease in adults: evidence from NHANES 2011–2020. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2024;15:1362667.
- Wang R, Tao W, Cheng X. Association of composite dietary antioxidant index with cardiovascular disease in adults: results from 2011 to 2020 NHANES. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2024;11:1379871.
- Wang J, Li S, Pu H, He J. The association between the non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio and the risk of osteoporosis among U.S. Adults: analysis of NHANES data. Lipids Health Dis. 2024;23:161.
- Li L, Dai F. Comparison of the associations between life's essential 8 and life's simple 7 with depression, as well as the mediating role of oxidative stress factors and inflammation: NHANES 2005–2018. J Affect Disord. 2024;351:31–9.
- Smith BH, Elliott AM, Chambers WA, Smith WC, Hannaford PC, Penny K. The impact of chronic pain in the community. Fam Pract. 2001;18:292–9.
- 32. Wiesenfeld-Hallin Z. Sex differences in pain perception. Gend Med. 2005;2:137–45.
- Lund CI, Rosseland LA, Steingrímsdóttir ÓA, Engdahl BL, Stubhaug A, Furberg AS, Nielsen CS. How is age at menopause and reproductive lifespan associated with chronic pain outcomes in postmenopausal women? Pain. 2025;166:144–52.

- Mosconi L, Berti V, Dyke J, Schelbaum E, Jett S, Loughlin L, Jang G, Rahman A, Hristov H, Pahlajani S, et al. Menopause impacts human brain structure, connectivity, energy metabolism, and amyloid-beta deposition. Sci Rep. 2021;11:10867.
- Mosconi L, Jett S, Nerattini M, Andy C, Yepez CB, Zarate C, Carlton C, Kodancha V, Schelbaum E, Williams S et al. In vivo brain Estrogen receptor expression by neuroendocrine aging and relationships with Gray matter volume, Bio-Energetics, and clinical symptomatology. Res Sq. 2023.
- 36. Reynolds CA, Minic Z. Chronic Pain-Associated cardiovascular disease: the role of sympathetic nerve activity. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24.
- Goodson NJ, Smith BH, Hocking LJ, McGilchrist MM, Dominiczak AF, Morris A, Porteous DJ, Goebel A. Cardiovascular risk factors associated with the metabolic syndrome are more prevalent in people reporting chronic pain: results from a cross-sectional general population study. Pain. 2013;154:1595–602.
- Li H, George DM, Jaarsma RL, Mao X. Metabolic syndrome and components exacerbate osteoarthritis symptoms of pain, depression and reduced knee function. Ann Transl Med. 2016;4:133.
- Xu L, Wu M, Chen S, Yang Y, Wang Y, Wu S, Tian Y. Triglyceride-glucose index associates with incident heart failure: A cohort study. Diabetes Metab. 2022;48:101365.
- 40. Lopez-Jaramillo P, Gomez-Arbelaez D, Martinez-Bello D, Abat MEM, Alhabib KF, Avezum Á, Barbarash O, Chifamba J, Diaz ML, Gulec S, et al. Association of the triglyceride glucose index as a measure of insulin resistance with mortality and cardiovascular disease in populations from five continents (PURE study): a prospective cohort study. Lancet Healthy Longev. 2023;4:e23–33.
- Cersosimo E, DeFronzo RA. Insulin resistance and endothelial dysfunction: the road map to cardiovascular diseases. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2006;22:423–36.
- Pries AR, Badimon L, Bugiardini R, Camici PG, Dorobantu M, Duncker DJ, Escaned J, Koller A, Piek JJ, de Wit C. Coronary vascular regulation, remodelling, and collateralization: mechanisms and clinical implications on behalf of the working group on coronary pathophysiology and microcirculation. Eur Heart J. 2015;36:3134–46.
- 43. Westergren HU, Svedlund S, Momo RA, Blomster JI, Wåhlander K, Rehnström E, Greasley PJ, Fritsche-Danielson R, Oscarsson J, Gan LM. Insulin resistance, endothelial function, angiogenic factors and clinical outcome in non-diabetic patients with chest pain without myocardial perfusion defects. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2016;15:36.
- Hotamisligil GS, Murray DL, Choy LN, Spiegelman BM. Tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibits signaling from the insulin receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1994;91:4854–8.
- Zhang JM, An J. Cytokines, inflammation, and pain. Int Anesthesiol Clin. 2007;45:27–37.
- Uribarri J, del Castillo MD, de la Maza MP, Filip R, Gugliucci A, Luevano-Contreras C, Macías-Cervantes MH, Markowicz Bastos DH, Medrano A, Menini T, et al. Dietary advanced glycation end products and their role in health and disease. Adv Nutr. 2015;6:461–73.
- Fernández-Macías JC, Ochoa-Martínez AC, Varela-Silva JA, Pérez-Maldonado IN. Atherogenic index of plasma: novel predictive biomarker for cardiovascular illnesses. Arch Med Res. 2019;50:285–94.

- Rabiee Rad M, Ghasempour Dabaghi G, Darouei B, Amani-Beni R. The association of atherogenic index of plasma with cardiovascular outcomes in patients with coronary artery disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2024;23:119.
- Chen X, Bao Y, Zhao J, Wang Z, Gao Q, Ma M, Xie Z, He M, Deng X, Ran J. Associations of triglycerides and atherogenic index of plasma with brain structure in the Middle-Aged and elderly adults. Nutrients. 2024;16.
- Mi P, Dong H, Chen S, Gao X, Cao X, Liu Y, Wang H, Fan G. Association between HDL-C and chronic pain: data from the NHANES database 2003–2004. Front Med (Lausanne). 2024;11:1340037.
- 51. Heuch I, Heuch I, Hagen K, Zwart JA. Associations between serum lipid levels and chronic low back pain. Epidemiology. 2010;21:837–41.
- 52. Nahrendorf M, Swirski FK, Immunology. Neutrophil-macrophage communication in inflammation and atherosclerosis. Science. 2015;349:237–8.
- Rohm TV, Meier DT, Olefsky JM, Donath MY. Inflammation in obesity, diabetes, and related disorders. Immunity. 2022;55:31–55.
- Buckman LB, Hasty AH, Flaherty DK, Buckman CT, Thompson MM, Matlock BK, Weller K, Ellacott KL. Obesity induced by a high-fat diet is associated with increased immune cell entry into the central nervous system. Brain Behav Immun. 2014;35:33–42.
- Lee JY, Sohn KH, Rhee SH, Hwang D. Saturated fatty acids, but not unsaturated fatty acids, induce the expression of cyclooxygenase-2 mediated through Toll-like receptor 4. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:16683–9.
- Zhang C, Ward J, Dauch JR, Tanzi RE, Cheng HT. Cytokine-mediated inflammation mediates painful neuropathy from metabolic syndrome. PLoS ONE. 2018;13:e0192333.
- Song Z, Xie W, Strong JA, Berta T, Ulrich-Lai YM, Guo Q, Zhang JM. High-fat diet exacerbates postoperative pain and inflammation in a sex-dependent manner. Pain. 2018;159:1731–41.
- Peterlin BL, Sacco S, Bernecker C, Scher Al. Adipokines and migraine: A systematic review. Headache. 2016;56:622–44.
- Maciejczyk M, Żebrowska E, Chabowski A. Insulin Resistance and Oxidative Stress in the Brain: What's New? Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20.
- Beyaztas H, Aktas S, Guler EM, Ata E. Oxidative stress may be a contributing factor in fibromyalgia patients' pain mechanisms. Reumatismo. 2023;75.
- 61. Gianò M, Franco C, Castrezzati S, Rezzani R. Involvement of oxidative stress and nutrition in the anatomy of orofacial pain. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24.
- Fouda R, Argueta DA, Gupta K. Pain in hemophilia: unexplored role of oxidative stress. Antioxid (Basel). 2022;11.

### **Publisher's note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.